

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/617,049	07/11/2003	Yoshihiro Nakazawa	0505-1211P	3055
2292 7	590 05/20/2005		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			CASTRO, ARNOLD	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		3747	
•			DATE MAIL ED: 05/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·		/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
_	10/617,049	NAKAZAWA ET A	L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Arnold Castro	3747				
The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum storm of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum storm of the period for reply any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however nunication. stop days, a reply within the statutory minim atutory period will apply and will expire SIX v will, by statute, cause the application to b	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on					
	 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the state of the above claim(s) is/as 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from considerati					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	•		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
,	documents have been receiv documents have been receiv of the priority documents have onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this National)).	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		terview Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		per No(s)/Mail Date btice of Informal Patent Application (PTC) her:)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/617,049 Page 2

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 2, 3, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention. Evidence that claim 2 fail(s) to correspond in scope with that which applicant(s) regard as the invention can be found in the specification in that paper. Applicant has stated that the POSITIVE terminal of battery is connected to starter via relay switch. Claims 2 and 3 claim a ground structure but the cable described is the positive cable to starter, and this statement indicates that the invention is different from what is defined in the claim(s).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suzuki et al. WO 03/091074.
- 3. Suzuki discloses a grounding structure for a vehicle wherein an engine and a Vehicle body are connected to each other comprising:

Art Unit: 3747

A first cable extending from a body frame of the vehicle body to the engine for grounding the engine. A plurality of other cables are disclosed at least one of which is wired to the engine through a part (cylinder head) coupled to said engine. Wherein said first cable has a wire diameter set substantially equal to the plurality of other cables wired to said engine and through the part coupled to said engine. Since cables have same diameter, no one of the plurality of other cables has a wire diameter larger than the wire diameter of the first cable because all cables have same diameter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberts et al. (US/4,158,346) in view of Suzuki et al. WO 03/091074.

Roberts et al. is introduce solely for it's showing of a conventional starting system showing cables (58) going to starter via a starter relay (Cables C2, C3) and a ground (46) from engine to battery (Cable C4).

Suzuki discloses a grounding system having multiple wires of special construction to ground the engine. In particular, a wire connection between body (3) and engine (4) equates to (Cable (C1)).

As shown in picture of invention of Suzuki being tested in audio magazine the positive and negative wires appear to be the same diameter. Moreover,

Application/Control Number: 10/617,049

Art Unit: 3747

regarding claims 5-9, 13-17 the sectional areas claimed are just obvious optimization based on the current requirements of the starter.

In regards to claims 18-20 applicant does not disclose how claimed elevation of the connection points claimed produce any unexpected results.

At the time of invention it would have been obvious to combine the grounding system of Suzuki with the convention starting system of and automobile as shown in Roberts.

Motivation would have been to increase engine horsepower as noted in Suzuki.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,049 Page 5

Art Unit: 3747

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arnold Castro whose telephone number is (571) 272-4839. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Wed, Thurs 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yuen Henry can be reached on (571)-272-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arnold Castro Examiner Art Unit 3747

ac

Henry C. Yuen
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700